

day, 365 days every year, in more than 140 different languages, with a TTY line available for the deaf, the Hotline offers confidential and anonymous help for victims of domestic violence, their families and friends.

Located in Austin, TX, the National Domestic Violence Hotline was created in the Violence Against Women Act of 1994. As I began to draft that Act over 15 years ago, I held many Congressional hearings and listened to hours of testimony from experts about how to craft an effective, coordinated community response to battering. One of the realities that was raised over and over in those hearings was how very difficult it was, and still is, for a battered woman to admit the abuse. It was, and still is, very difficult for a battered woman to report the abuse to the police or local prosecutor. In the Violence Against Women Act we created a safe haven—a place to talk about the abuse that offered lots of solutions and total anonymity, the National Domestic Violence Hotline.

On February 21, 1996, the Hotline answered its first call, and since then has received over 1.5 million calls. Today, Hotline advocates answer as many as 600 calls per day and an average of 16,500 calls per month from women, men and children across the nation. These are real lives that have been dramatically changed by their first call to the National Domestic Violence Hotline. Over 60 percent of the Hotline callers report that this is their very first attempt to deal with the abuse—they hadn't told a friend yet, or reported it to the police.

Each day Hotline advocates listen and respond to heart-wrenching pleas for help and information, and each day they offer their callers hope and help. I am pleased that the Senate can recognize their hard work with today's Senate Resolution commemorating its 10th anniversary. It is but a small token of this body's gratitude for the National Domestic Violence Hotline.

SENATE RESOLUTION 374—TO AUTHORIZE TESTIMONY, DOCUMENT PRODUCTION, AND LEGAL REPRESENTATION IN UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V. DAVID HOSSEIN SAFAVIAN

Mr. FRIST (for himself and Mr. REID) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 374

Whereas, in the case of *United States of America v. David Hossein Safavian*, Crim. No. 05-370, pending in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, testimony and documents have been requested from Bryan D. Parker, an employee on the staff of the Committee on Indian Affairs;

Whereas, pursuant to sections 703(a) and 704(a)(2) of the Ethics in Government Act of 1978, 2 U.S.C. §§288b(a) and 288c(a)(2), the Senate may direct its counsel to represent employees of the Senate with respect to any subpoena, order, or request for testimony relating to their official responsibilities;

Whereas, by the privileges of the Senate of the United States and Rule XI of the Stand-

ing Rules of the Senate, no evidence under the control or in the possession of the Senate may, by the judicial or administrative process, be taken from such control or possession but by permission of the Senate;

Whereas, when it appears that evidence under the control or in the possession of the Senate may promote the administration of justice, the Senate will take such action as will promote the ends of justice consistently with the privileges of the Senate: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved that Bryan D. Parker, and any other employee of the Committee on Indian Affairs from whom testimony or the production of documents may be required, are authorized to testify and produce documents in the case of *United States of America v. David Hossein Safavian*, except concerning matters for which a privilege should be asserted.

SEC. 2. The Senate Legal Counsel is authorized to represent Bryan D. Parker, and any other Members, officers, or employees of the Senate, in connection with the testimony and document production authorized in section one of this resolution.

SENATE RESOLUTION 375—TO AUTHORIZE TESTIMONY AND LEGAL REPRESENTATION IN STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE V. WILLIAM THOMAS, KETA C. JONES, JOHN FRANCIS BOPP, MICHAEL S. FRANKLIN, DAVID VAN STREIN, GUY CHICHESTER, JAMILLA EL-SHAFEI, AND ANN ISENBERG

Mr. FRIST (for himself and Mr. REID) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 375

Whereas, in the cases of *State of New Hampshire v. William Thomas* (C-05-49153-AR), *Keta C. Jones* (C-05-49153-A-AR), *John Francis Bopp* (C-05-49153-B-AR), *Michael S. Franklin* (C-05-49153-C-AR), *David Van Strein* (C-05-49153-D-AR), *Guy Chichester* (C-05-49153-E-AR), *Jamilla El-Shafei* (C-05-49153-F-AR), and *Ann Isenberg* (C-05-49153-G-AR), pending in Concord District Court, New Hampshire, testimony has been requested from Carol Carpenter, an employee in the office of Senator Judd Gregg;

Whereas, pursuant to sections 703(a) and 704(a)(2) of the Ethics in Government Act of 1978, 2 U.S.C. §§288b(a) and 288c(a)(2), the Senate may direct its counsel to represent an employee of the Senate with respect to any subpoena, order, or request for testimony relating to their official responsibilities;

Whereas, by the privileges of the Senate of the United States and Rule XI of the Standing Rules of the Senate, no evidence under the control or in the possession of the Senate may, by the judicial or administrative process, be taken from such control or possession but by permission of the Senate;

Whereas, when it appears that evidence under the control or in the possession of the Senate may promote the administration of justice, the Senate will take such action as will promote the ends of justice consistent with the privileges of the Senate: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved that Carol Carpenter and other employees of Senator Gregg's office from whom testimony may be required are authorized to testify in the cases of *State of New Hampshire v. William Thomas*, *Keta C. Jones*, *John Francis Bopp*, *Michael S. Franklin*, *David Van Strein*, *Guy Chichester*, *Jamilla El-Shafei*, and *Ann Isenberg*, except

concerning matters for which a privilege should be asserted.

SEC. 2. The Senate Legal Counsel is authorized to represent Carol Carpenter and other employees of Senator Gregg's office in connection with the testimony authorized in section one of this resolution.

SENATE RESOLUTION 376—TO AUTHORIZE REPRESENTATION BY THE SENATE LEGAL COUNSEL IN THE CASE OF KEYTER V. MCCAIN, ET AL.

Mr. REID submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 376

Whereas, pursuant to Senate Resolution 213, 109th Congress, the Senate Legal Counsel is currently representing Senators John McCain and Jon Kyl in the case of *Keyter v. McCain, et al.*, filed in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona, Civ. No. 05-1923-PHX-DGC;

Whereas, the plaintiff filed an amended complaint naming Senators Bill Frist, Joseph I. Lieberman, Mitch McConnell, Rick Santorum, and Ted Stevens as additional defendants in the action;

Whereas the District Court dismissed the action for lack of jurisdiction and for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted;

Whereas the plaintiff has appealed the dismissal of the action to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit; and

Whereas, pursuant to sections 703(a) and 704(a)(1) of the Ethics in Government Act of 1978, 2 U.S.C. §§288b(a) and 288c(a)(1), the Senate may direct its counsel to defend Members of the Senate in civil actions relating to their official responsibilities: Now therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate Legal Counsel is authorized to represent Senators Bill Frist, Joseph I. Lieberman, Mitch McConnell, Rick Santorum, and Ted Stevens in the case of *Keyter v. McCain, et al.*

SENATE RESOLUTION 377—HONORING THE LIFE OF DR. NORMAN SHUMWAY AND EXPRESSING THE CONDOLENCES OF THE SENATE ON HIS PASSING

Mr. FRIST submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 377

Whereas Norman Shumway was an inspirational leader and medical pioneer;

Whereas Dr. Norman Shumway performed the first successful heart transplant in the United States, and was considered the father of heart transplantation in America;

Whereas Dr. Norman Shumway's seminal work with Dr. Richard Lower at Stanford Medical Center set in motion the longest and most successful clinical cardiac transplant program in the world;

Whereas Dr. Norman Shumway co-edited a definitive book on thoracic organ transplantation along with his daughter who is also a cardiac surgeon;

Whereas Dr. Norman Shumway continued to research the medical complexities of heart transplants when many were abandoning the procedure because of poor outcomes due to rejection;

Whereas Dr. Norman Shumway trained hundreds of surgeons who have gone on to lead academic and clinical cardiac surgical programs around the world;